



GETTY IMAGES

## Japanese Prime Minister Wants to Revise Pacifist Constitution to Create Military Force

Prime Minister Shinzō Abe steps up efforts to change Japan's constitution to allow the creation of a military force by 2020.

- 
- [05-10-2018](#)

"Let's fulfill our mission by clearly writing in the constitution the Self-Defense Forces that protect peace and independence of Japan," Prime Minister Shinzō Abe said on [September 9](#), reiterating his support for changing Japan's constitution to allow for a military.

The second clause of Article 9 of Japan's constitution says that "Land, sea and air forces, as well as other war potential, will never be maintained." Nonetheless, Article 9 allowed Japan to create its own military strictly for the purpose of defending its own borders. This de facto military is known as Japan's Self-Defense Forces (sdf).

Prime Minister Abe wants to officially change this policy. And he wants to do it by next year.

Abe believes the current sdf violates the constitution. Grant Newsham, a senior research fellow at the Japan Forum for strategic Studies in Tokyo, told [VOA News](#) that the prime minister wants the constitution to acknowledge the existence of the sdf as a "legal organization with the aim and position of protecting the country." If legalization of Japan's military is successful, it will allow the sdf to send troops overseas for military action.

Abe has given a proposal to parliament that would retain the first and second clause of Article 9. But he wants to add a specific reference to the sdf in the second clause, legitimizing Japan's military as an offensive force.

A *Mainichi* newspaper [survey](#) published in January showed that 31 percent favored Abe's proposal while 12 percent said the proposal needs to be more "drastic."

In order to revise the constitution, Prime Minister Abe's proposal must win two-thirds majority in parliament. Abe's party, the Liberal Democratic Party (Ldp), holds about [60 percent](#) of seats in parliament. To fill the remaining seats to win a two-thirds majority, the Ldp will need support from its sister party, the Komeito.

After the parliament passes the proposal, a public referendum would be held nationally, and a simple majority must vote in favor of the proposal. According to a [poll](#) conducted by *Yomiuri Shimbun* in March and April, 51 percent said it would be better to revise the constitution, and 46 percent said they are against revising.

This constitutional change would send a message to the world that Japan is ready to defend itself. This against the backdrop of a United States that is slowly backing away from its defense commitments, a belligerent China that is militarizing islands in the South China Sea, and a North Korea that is testing nuclear weapons that could raze Japan. Many people today, considering these dangers, see Japan taking a more assertive military role as a positive step.

But why, for so many others, does Japan's steady military growth, especially in changing its constitution, sound alarm bells?

For the answer, consider why Article 9 was written in the first place. Many nations surrounding Japan have not forgotten

what it did more than 70 years ago. They view a militarized Japan with deep anxiety.

In the Nanking Massacre of 1937, Japanese soldiers murdered over 200,000 Chinese civilians. Groups of Japanese soldiers roamed the city of Nanking, murdering, looting, burning, bayoneting and beheading anyone they found. In four weeks, at least 20,000 Chinese women were gang-raped, mutilated and killed. After this massacre, many more atrocities followed in Korea, the Philippines, and other countries.

Though Japan has apologized for its savage wartime past, there are also revisionists who want to rewrite history. In some cases, they go so far as to deny that the Nanking Massacre even happened. "The Nanking Massacre is a lie made up by the Chinese," Japanese Cabinet Minister Ishihara Shintaro said in 1990. "The Nanking Massacre is a fabrication," Japanese Justice Minister Nagano Shigeto said in 1994. "The Americans brainwashed the postwar Japanese into believing they had committed terrible war crimes," said Tokyo University Prof. Nobukatsu Fujioka in 1997.

As *Trumpet* writer Jeremiah Jacques [wrote](#) in July 2014:

The people of Japan embody many exceptionally noble and admirable traits. Their cultural and technological contributions have enriched the human experience for millions around the globe. But as a result of historic revisionism, a dangerous number of modern Japanese view their nation's wartime atrocities with pride. In light of this pride, it is easy to see why the ... constitutional reinterpretation is a matter of concern to many of Japan's neighbors.

The *Trumpet* and the *Plain Truth* magazine have been forecasting for almost 50 years that Japan would remilitarize. In 1971, when Japan was still staunchly pacifist, *Plain Truth* editor in chief Herbert W. Armstrong wrote:

Japan today has no military establishment. But we should not lose sight of the fact that Japan has become so powerful economically that it could build a military force of very great power very rapidly.

Mr. Armstrong's forecasts were informed by his knowledge of Bible prophecy. Specific Bible prophecies show that in the end-time, Japan will be part of a bloc of Asian nations called the "kings of the east" (Revelation 16:12). Ezekiel 38:1-2 show that a massive Asian conglomerate will be led by Russia. Verse 6 shows that "Gomer" and "Togarmah"—ancient names for the people that make up modern Japan—will be a part of this powerful Asian group. This indicates that Japan's Article 9 will have to be revised for Japan to fully and rapidly develop its military power once again.

To understand why the *Trumpet* watches [Japan's march toward militarism](#), please request a copy of our free booklets [Russia and China in Prophecy](#) and [He Was Right](#). ■

[Hwr Ad N Lcopy](#)

